

JNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/036,859	12/21/2001	Thomas M. Worth	DPL-020	4031
21323 7	590 05/05/2003			
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET			EXAMINER	
			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/036,859	WORTH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Seungsook Ham	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply body within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (e, cause the application to become ABANDO	the timely filed and a state of this communication. The considered timely. The communication of this communication. The communication of this communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	March 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 22</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7, 10-21</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushes the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)[] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign language process. The translation of the foreign language process.	· ·					
Attachmen	_						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group 1 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 14-16, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lams (US '367).

lams (figs. 1-5) discloses a mechanically stable substrate 11 that defines the shape of a surface for reflecting microwave radiation (e.g., radio wave); a metal fitting 10, 12, 13 providing the surface that reflects microwave radiation, wherein the metal fitting has a thickness (0.005", see col. 3, lines 64-66) that is insufficient for independent mechanical stability (i.e., less than 500um).

Regarding claims 3, 6, and 7, lams shows the thickness of the metal fitting 12, 13 is 0.005 inch (~127um) or 0.002 inch (~50.8um).

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claims 4 and 5, lams also shows a surface of the metal fitting 10 defines a microwave reflector and the substrate 11 comprises an insulator (e.g., glass, ceramics, col. 3, lines 5-10).

Regarding claims 10 and 14-16, lams shows the metal fitting 10 can be brazed joint (e.g., soldered), bonded to the substrate by an interference fit ("punched into a cupshaped piece which is attached to the central tube"), has a machined surface and completely shields the substrate from expose to the microwave radiation (see fig. 3, the inner surface of the substrate 11 is completely shielded by the metal fitting 10 (see col. 4, lines 15-25).

Regarding claims 19-21, lams also discloses the metal fitting has a ring shape having inner and outer diameters 10, 12. Regarding the phrase, "machined to match" is a method step, thus it cannot be given any patentable weight. Even if such language is considered, it is inherent from the device of lams (e.g., the inner and outer diameters are attached to the substrate 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lams (US '367).

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 2, lams teaches that the device can be arrange to form a resonant circuit (col. I, line 55). Thus, it would have been obvious to define the surface of the substrate as at least a portion of a resonant cavity.

Regarding claims 11-13, using different materials for the metal fitting is considered as obvious design choices since such metals are well known in the art and gives the high reflection.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lams (US '367) in view of Komachi (US '540).

lams is applied as above. Iams does not show an adhesive layer between the substrate and metal fitting. However, using an adhesive layer to bond between two layers are well known in the art. Komachi teaches such adhesive layer (col. 3, lines 41-48). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an adhesive layer between the substrate and the metal fitting for bonding since such technique is well known in the art as taught by Komachi. The specific thickness range is considered as obvious design modification since the bond layer should have a sufficient thickness to bond the two layers.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 9 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2817

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on (703)308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Seungsook Ham/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817 Page 6

sh April 28, 2003